

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,923	04/26/2001	Erin H. Sibley	PD-201008A	2070
20991 THE DIRECT	7590 12/17/200° V GROUP, INC.	Erin H. Sibley	EXAMINER	
PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION			USTARIS, JOSEPH G	
P O BOX 956	CA / LA1 / A109 P O BOX 956		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/844,923	SIBLEY, ERIN H.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Joseph G. Ustaris	2623	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-17 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12,18 and 19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
· <u></u>	ot(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		

Application/Control Number: 09/844,923

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment dated October 5, 2007 in application 09/844,923.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendricks et al. (US006160989A).

Regarding claim 1, Hendricks et al. (Hendricks) discloses a system of broadcasting (See Fig. 1) comprising:

a satellite (See Figs. 1 and 3, satellite);

a network operations center (operations center 202) uplinking electronic content (program signals) to said satellite (See Figs. 1 and 3; col. 5 lines 6-16 and col. 10 lines 1-51);

a terrestrial over-the-air digital broadcast center receiving said electronic content from said satellite (See Figs. 1 and 3, headend 208; col. 7 lines 11-34), generating an

Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

over-the-air digital channel signal over a first portion (See Fig. 3, 216, other digital; col. 7 lines 29-34, Hendricks discloses the use of cellular networks for a delivery system. wherein cellular networks wirelessly transmit services/content via radio transmissions through the air or "over-the-air") of an allocated frequency spectrum having a total bandwidth (See Fig. 3, 216; col. 10 lines 28-51, the allocated frequency spectrum total bandwidth includes analog signals, digital compressed signals, other digital, and upstream) so that the first portion is less then the total bandwidth (See Fig. 3, 216, other digital; the other digital portion is less then the combined analog signals, digital compressed signals, other digital, and up-stream) to form an excess bandwidth portion (See Fig. 3, 216; any bandwidth outside of the other digital portion is considered excess bandwidth because it is not being used by the other digital portion) and inserting digital over-the-air electronic content (See Fig. 3, 216, digital compressed signals; col. 7 lines 29-34) corresponding to the electronic content (program signals) into a second portion of said allocated frequency spectrum (See Fig. 3, 216, digital compressed signals) within the excess bandwidth portion (See Fig. 3, 216; the bandwidth outside of the other digital portion); and

a user appliance receiving said electronic content (See Fig. 1, 220).

Regarding claim 2, as disclosed in claim 1 rejection, Hendricks discloses a satellite (stratospheric platform) communicates (coupled) with the cable headend (over the air broadcast center).

tower".

Regarding claim 3, Hendricks discloses that one of the transmission media can be a cellular network (See column 7 lines 29-34), which inherently includes a "cell

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Hendricks discloses both digital audio and video (See column 5 lines 6-16).

Regarding claim 7, the set top terminals or "user appliance" is "fixed" (See Hendricks Fig. 1).

Claim 9 contains the limitations of claim 1 (wherein the system performs the method) and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim.

Claim 10 contains the limitations of claims 2 and 9 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

Claim 11 contains the limitations of claims 3 and 9 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

Regarding claim 18, the user appliance receives the electronic content (program signals) without receiving the digital channel signal (See Fig. 3, 216, other digital) (See col. 12 lines 44-57; Hendricks discloses that the set top terminal can only demultiplex, extract, and decompress a single channel at a time. Therefore, if the set top terminal is tuned to a program signal (digital compressed signals), then the set top terminal does not receive the digital channel signal (other digital)).

Claim 19 contains the limitations of claims 18 and 9 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,923 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (US006160989A).

Claim 4 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Furthermore, Hendricks discloses different types of transmission media (e.g. cellular networks) to the home and suggests that similar technology can be used interchangeably (column 7, lines 29-34). However, Hendricks does not explicitly disclose a TV broadcast tower.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art that TV broadcast towers are used as a transmission scheme. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Hendricks to include a TV broadcast tower in order to provide more versatility, options of transmission, and robustness of transmission in case of malfunction by one scheme.

Claim 12 contains the limitations of claims 4 and 9 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (US006160989A) in view of Owa et al. (US006711379B1).

Hendricks does not disclose that the "user appliance is mobile".

Owa et al. (Owa) discloses a digital broadcasting system and terminal. Owa discloses mobile receiving terminals that can receive broadcasts from various sources (See Figs. 1, 23, and 24; col. 7 lines 21-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Hendricks to include mobile receiving terminals or "mobile user appliance", as taught by Owa, in order to expand the capabilities of the system thereby making the system more convenient for the user by enabling the user to roam freely with the mobile terminal (See col. 1 lines 26-45).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to claims 1 and 9 that Hendricks does not disclose an allocated frequency spectrum having excess bandwidth. However, reading the claims in the broadest sense, Hendricks does meet that limitation in the claims. Hendricks discloses an allocated frequency spectrum where the bandwidth has been allocated (See Fig. 3, 216; col. 10 lines 28-51). As shown in Fig. 3, 216, the spectrum includes an other digital portion that occupies a portion of the total bandwidth. Any

Application/Control Number: 09/844,923 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

bandwidth outside the other digital portion is considered excess bandwidth because is it not being used by the other digital portion (See Fig. 3, 216).

Applicant is reminded that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,923

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph G. Ustaris whose telephone number is 571-272-

7383. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5 PM; Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher S. Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

(IGII

December 12, 2007

CHRIS KELLEY

Page 8

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600